

# The distributive law of tensor product over direct product

錢 圓 亮

## 中 文 摘 要

在模 (module) 中，張量積 (tensor product) 對直和 (direct sum) 具有分配性，已爲人所證明。至於張量積對直積 (direct product)，則並不具有分配性。本論文的目的是探討分配性成立與不成立的各種情形。在第一章中，我們導引一些已知的結果。第二章中，我們提供二個模分配性不成立的例子。在第三章中，我們給予分配性成立的充分條件，並加以證明作爲結論。

## 0. ABSTRACT

The object of this note is to discuss the distributive law of tensor product over direct product. This property is always not true for modules. In this paper, we shall give two examples, it will show that their distributive law does not exist. We also establish a sufficient condition which will satisfy the distributive law.

## I. PRELIMINARIES

In what follows,  $R$  will always be an associative ring with identity element 1, and all modules will be considered as unital modules.

In P. J. Hilton and U. Stammbach [1], the following results have already been proved:

Lemma 1.1: (i) Let  $\{M_\alpha\}$ ,  $\alpha \in J$ , be a family of right  $R$ -modules and let  $N$  be a left  $R$ -module. Then

$$\left(\bigoplus_{\alpha \in J} M_\alpha\right) \otimes_R N \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha \in J} (M_\alpha \otimes_R N)$$

(ii) If  $M' \xrightarrow{f} M \xrightarrow{g} M'' \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence of right  $R$ -modules, then for any left  $R$ -module  $N$ , the sequence

$$M' \otimes_R N \xrightarrow{f \otimes 1} M \otimes_R N \xrightarrow{g \otimes 1} M'' \otimes_R N \rightarrow 0$$

(where 1 denotes the identity mapping on  $N$ ) is exact.

Lemma 1.2: If  $M$  is a left  $R$ -module and  $N$  a right  $R$ -module, then

$$R \otimes_R M \cong M \quad \text{and} \quad N \otimes_R R \cong N$$

If  $M$  and  $N$  are free modules, then  $M \otimes_R N$  is a free module. Thus, we have the following

Lemma 1.3: Let  $N$  be a free left  $R$ -module with base  $\{y_i\}$ ,  $i \in J$ , and let  $M$  be an arbitrary right  $R$ -module. Then each element of  $M \otimes_R N$  has a unique representation in the form

$$\sum_i (m_i \otimes y_i)$$

where  $m_i$  belongs to  $M$  and  $m_i = 0$  for almost all  $i$ .

Remark: There is, of course, a similar result when  $M$  is free and  $N$  is arbitrary.

The proof of this lemma is given in Northcott [2].

Corollary 1.4: Let  $M$  be a free right  $R$ -module, then  $m \otimes n = 0$  ( $m \in M$ ,  $n \in N$ ) implies  $m = 0$  or  $n = 0$ .

Lemma 1.5: Let  $R$  be a commutative ring,  $M$  a free  $R$ -module with basis  $\{x_i\}$ ,  $i \in J$ ,  $N$  a free  $R$ -module with basis  $\{y_h\}$ ,  $h \in H$ . Then  $M \otimes_R N$  is a free  $R$ -module with basis  $\{x_i \otimes y_h\}$ ,  $i \in J, h \in H$ .

$S^{-1}R$  is the ring of fraction of  $R$  with respect to  $S$  and  $S^{-1}M$  is the localization of  $R$ -module  $M$  with respect to  $S$ .

Lemma 1.6: Let  $M$  be a  $R$ -module. Then

$$S^{-1}R \otimes_R M \cong S^{-1}M.$$

The proof of this lemma is given in M.F. ATIYAH [3].

Remark: The ring of the previous lemma will be consider as a commutative ring. It is used in the proof of the following example 2.

## II. TWO EXAMPLES

In this section, we shall find two examples which do not satisfy the distributive law of tensor product over direct product. First, we shall make an example such that the mapping  $(\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} N \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} N)$  is one to one but not onto.

Example 1: Let  $[M_{\alpha}]$ ,  $\alpha \in J$ , be a family of free  $\mathbb{R}$ -modules,  $N$  a free  $\mathbb{R}$ -module of infinite rank, and let  $\theta : (\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \times N \rightarrow (\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} N$  be a  $\mathbb{R}$ -balance,  $\gamma : (\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \times N \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} N)$  a  $\mathbb{R}$ -balance such that  $\theta((m_{\alpha})_{\alpha}, n) = (m_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \otimes n$  and  $\gamma((m_{\alpha})_{\alpha}, n) = (m_{\alpha} \otimes n)_{\alpha}$ . Then the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 (\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \times N & \xrightarrow{\theta} & (\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} N \\
 \searrow \gamma & & \swarrow \mu \\
 & & \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} N)
 \end{array}$$

is commutative. (By tensor product definition)

There is a unique  $\mathbb{R}$ -homomorphism  $\mu : (\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} N \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} N)$  such that  $\mu \theta = \gamma$ , that is,

$$\mu((m_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \otimes n) = (m_{\alpha} \otimes n)_{\alpha}.$$

We show that  $\mu$  is monomorphism. For each  $(m_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \otimes n \in \ker \mu$ ,  $\mu((m_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \otimes n) = (m_{\alpha} \otimes n)_{\alpha} = 0$  implies  $m_{\alpha} \otimes n = 0$  for each  $\alpha$ . If  $n = 0$  then  $(m_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \otimes n = 0$ . If  $n \neq 0$ , by corollary 1.4,  $m_{\alpha} = 0$  for each  $\alpha$  then  $(m_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \otimes n = 0$ . Hence  $\mu$  is a monomorphism.

We claim that  $\mu$  is not epimorphism. Suppose that  $\mu$  is an epimorphism and  $[x_{\alpha, i}]_i$  is a basis of  $M_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha$ ;  $[y_j]_j$  is a basis of  $N$ . For each  $\alpha$ , take  $x_{\alpha, 1} \in [x_{\alpha, i}]_i$ ;  $y_{\alpha} \in [y_j]_j$  and  $y_{\alpha} \neq y_{\beta}$ , if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . Then  $(x_{\alpha, 1} \otimes y_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \in \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} N)$ . Since

$\mu$  is an epimorphism, there is  $(a_\alpha)_\alpha \otimes n \in (\prod_\alpha M_\alpha) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} N$  such that

$$\mu((a_\alpha)_\alpha \otimes n) = (x_{\alpha,1} \otimes y_\alpha)_\alpha = (a_\alpha \otimes n)_\alpha,$$

that is, for each  $\alpha$ ,  $x_{\alpha,1} \otimes y_\alpha = a_\alpha \otimes n$ .

For each  $a_\alpha \in M_\alpha$ ,  $n \in N$ ,

$$n = b_1 y_1 + b_2 y_2 + \dots + b_k y_k = \sum_{j=1}^k b_j y_j$$

$$a_\alpha = c_{\alpha,1} x_{\alpha,1} + \dots + c_{\alpha,p} x_{\alpha,p} = \sum_{i=1}^p c_{\alpha,i} x_{\alpha,i}$$

then 
$$a_\alpha \otimes n = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^p c_{\alpha,i} b_j (x_{\alpha,i} \otimes y_j) = x_{\alpha,1} \otimes y_\alpha.$$

Put  $\alpha = k+1$ ,

$$a_{k+1} \otimes n = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^p c_{k+1,i} b_j (x_{k+1,i} \otimes y_j) = x_{k+1,1} \otimes y_{k+1},$$

then

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^p c_{k+1,i} b_j (x_{k+1,i} \otimes y_j) - x_{k+1,1} \otimes y_{k+1} = 0.$$

By lemma 1.5, we know that  $[x_{k+1,i} \otimes y_j]_{i,j}$  is a basis of  $M_{k+1} \otimes N$ .

then

$$\sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{i=1}^p c_{k+1,i} b_j (x_{k+1,i} \otimes y_j) - x_{k+1,1} \otimes y_{k+1} \neq 0 \text{ (contridiction).}$$

Therefore  $\mu$  is not epimorphism. That is

$$(\prod_\alpha M_\alpha) \otimes N \not\cong \prod_\alpha (M_\alpha \otimes N).$$

The following is to illustrate the mapping  $(\prod_\alpha M_\alpha) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} N \rightarrow \prod_\alpha (M_\alpha \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} N)$  which is not one to one but onto.

Example 2: Let  $p$  be a prime number,  $\mu$  a homomorphism of  $(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Q})$ . Then  $\mu$  is epimorphism (since  $\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$ ). We show that  $\mu$  is not monomorphism.

Since  $\mu$  is epimorphism, we claim that  $(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \neq 0$ . Let  $S = \mathbb{Z} - \{0\}$ ,  $S^{-1}(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$  be the localization of the  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  with respect to  $S$ . By lemma 1.6, it is clear that

$$(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong S^{-1}(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$$

From the basic properties of localization, an element  $x \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  becomes zero in  $S^{-1}(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z})$  if and only if there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $s \cdot x = 0$  in  $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$  is zero in  $(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}$  if and only if  $x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots$  are of bounded order.

Obviously, there are a lot of  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$  so that  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots$  are of unbounded order (e.g.  $x = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ ). Hence  $(\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \neq 0$ .

### III. FINITELY PRESENTED MODULE

In this section, we shall characterize modules which satisfy the distributive law of tensor product over direct product. We first give some lemmas which are used in the proof of the main theorem.

Lemma 3.1: Let  $M_i$  and  $N_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ ) be left (right)  $R$ -modules. Suppose that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} M_1 & \xrightarrow{f_1} & M_2 & \xrightarrow{f_2} & M_3 & \xrightarrow{f_3} & M_4 \\ \downarrow \varphi_1 & & \downarrow \varphi_2 & & \downarrow \varphi_3 & & \downarrow \varphi_4 \\ N_1 & \xrightarrow{g_1} & N_2 & \xrightarrow{g_2} & N_3 & \xrightarrow{g_3} & N_4 \end{array}$$

is commutative, and that the rows are exact.

If  $\varphi_1$  is an epimorphism and  $\varphi_2, \varphi_4$  are monomorphism then  $\varphi_3$  is monomorphism.

Proof: For any  $x \in \ker \varphi_3$ ,  $\varphi_3(x) = 0$ ,  $g_3\varphi_3(x) = \varphi_4f_3(x) = 0$ . Since  $\varphi_4$  is a monomorphism,  $f_3(x) = 0$  implies  $x \in \ker f_3 = \text{Im} f_2$ . There is  $y \in M_2$  such that  $f_2(y) = x$ .

For  $\varphi_2(y) \in N_2$ ,  $g_2\varphi_2(y) = \varphi_3f_2(y) = \varphi_3(x) = 0$ , then  $\varphi_2(y) \in \ker g_2 = \text{Im} g_1$ . There is  $t \in N_1$  such that  $g_1(t) = \varphi_2(y)$ . Since  $\varphi_1$  is an epimorphism, there is  $s \in M_1$ , such that  $\varphi_1(s) = t$ . Then  $g_1\varphi_1(s) = g_1(t) = \varphi_2(y) = \varphi_2f_1(s)$  implies  $f_1(s) = y$  (since  $\varphi_2$  is a monomorphism),  $y \in \text{Im} f_1 = \ker f_2$ , hence  $f_2(y) = 0 = x$ . Thus  $\ker \varphi_3 = 0$ . Therefore  $\varphi_3$  is a monomorphism.

Lemma 3.2: Let  $[M_\alpha]_\alpha$  be a family of right  $R$ -modules, and  $A, B, C$  three left  $R$ -modules. If  $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \rightarrow 0$  is an exact sequence then



$$\Pi_{\alpha}(M_{\alpha} \otimes_R A) \rightarrow \Pi_{\alpha}(M_{\alpha} \otimes_R B) \rightarrow \Pi_{\alpha}(M_{\alpha} \otimes_R C) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact sequence.

Proof: By Lemma 1.1, we know that the sequence

$$M_{\alpha} \otimes_R A \xrightarrow{f_{\alpha}} M_{\alpha} \otimes_R B \xrightarrow{g_{\alpha}} M_{\alpha} \otimes_R C \rightarrow 0$$

is an exact sequence for each  $\alpha$ . (where  $f_{\alpha} = 1 \otimes f$ ,  $g_{\alpha} = 1 \otimes g$ )

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{f} &: \Pi_{\alpha}(M_{\alpha} \otimes_R A) \rightarrow \Pi_{\alpha}(M_{\alpha} \otimes_R B) \\ \bar{g} &: \Pi_{\alpha}(M_{\alpha} \otimes_R B) \rightarrow \Pi_{\alpha}(M_{\alpha} \otimes_R C) \end{aligned}$$

by

$$\bar{f}(m_{\alpha} \otimes a_{\alpha})_{\alpha} = (f_{\alpha}(m_{\alpha} \otimes a_{\alpha}))_{\alpha} = (m_{\alpha} \otimes f(a_{\alpha}))_{\alpha}$$

and

$$\bar{g}(m_{\alpha} \otimes b_{\alpha})_{\alpha} = (g_{\alpha}(m_{\alpha} \otimes b_{\alpha}))_{\alpha} = (m_{\alpha} \otimes g(b_{\alpha}))_{\alpha}$$

Then  $\bar{f}$  and  $\bar{g}$  are  $R$ -module homomorphism. We show that  $\text{Im } \bar{f} = \ker \bar{g}$ . For each  $(m_{\alpha} \otimes b_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \in \text{Im } \bar{f}$ , there is  $(m'_{\alpha} \otimes a_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \in \Pi(M_{\alpha} \otimes_R A)$  such that  $\bar{f}(m'_{\alpha} \otimes a_{\alpha})_{\alpha} = (m_{\alpha} \otimes b_{\alpha})_{\alpha} = (f_{\alpha}(m'_{\alpha} \otimes a_{\alpha}))_{\alpha} = (m'_{\alpha} \otimes f(a_{\alpha}))_{\alpha}$ . Then  $\bar{g}(m_{\alpha} \otimes b_{\alpha})_{\alpha} = \bar{g}(m'_{\alpha} \otimes f(a_{\alpha}))_{\alpha} = (g_{\alpha}(m'_{\alpha} \otimes f(a_{\alpha})))_{\alpha} = (m'_{\alpha} \otimes g(f(a_{\alpha})))_{\alpha} = (m'_{\alpha} \otimes 0)_{\alpha} = 0$  implies  $\text{Im } \bar{f} \subset \ker \bar{g}$ . Conversely, we claim that  $\ker \bar{g} \subset \text{Im } \bar{f}$ . For each  $(m_{\alpha} \otimes t_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \in \ker \bar{g}$ ,  $\bar{g}(m_{\alpha} \otimes t_{\alpha})_{\alpha} = (m_{\alpha} \otimes g(t_{\alpha}))_{\alpha} = 0$  implies  $g_{\alpha}(m_{\alpha} \otimes t_{\alpha}) = 0$ . For each  $\alpha$ ,  $m_{\alpha} \otimes t_{\alpha} \in \ker g_{\alpha} = \text{Im } f_{\alpha}$ , there is  $m'_{\alpha} \otimes a_{\alpha} \in M_{\alpha} \otimes_R A$  such that  $f_{\alpha}(m'_{\alpha} \otimes a_{\alpha}) = m_{\alpha} \otimes t_{\alpha}$ . Then  $(f_{\alpha}(m'_{\alpha} \otimes a_{\alpha}))_{\alpha} = (m_{\alpha} \otimes t_{\alpha})_{\alpha} = \bar{f}(m'_{\alpha} \otimes a_{\alpha})_{\alpha}$  implies  $(m_{\alpha} \otimes t_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \in \text{Im } \bar{f}$ . Thus  $\text{Im } \bar{f} = \ker \bar{g}$ .

Lemma 3.3: Let  $[M_\alpha]_\alpha$  be a family of right R-modules, N a finitely generated free left R-module. Then

$$(\prod_\alpha M_\alpha) \otimes_R N \cong \prod_\alpha (M_\alpha \otimes_R N)$$

Proof: Since N is a finitely generated free left R-module,  $N \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n R = R^n$  for some positive integer n. By lemma 1.1 (i), we know that

$$(\prod_\alpha M_\alpha) \otimes_R N \cong (\prod_\alpha M_\alpha) \otimes_R (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n ((\prod_\alpha M_\alpha) \otimes_R R) \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\prod_\alpha M_\alpha).$$

$$\prod_\alpha (M_\alpha \otimes_R N) \cong \prod_\alpha (M_\alpha \otimes_R (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n R)) \cong \prod_\alpha [\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (M_\alpha \otimes_R R)] \cong \prod_\alpha (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_\alpha).$$

Since  $\bigoplus_{i=1}^n (\prod_\alpha M_\alpha) \cong \prod_\alpha (\bigoplus_{i=1}^n M_\alpha)$ ,  $(\prod_\alpha M_\alpha) \otimes_R N \cong \prod_\alpha (M_\alpha \otimes_R N)$ .

The following theorem is the main positive result of a sufficient condition for R-modules which will satisfy the distributive law of tensor product over direct product. We first give a definition which is used in the main theorem.

Definition: A right (left) module M over R is finitely presented, if there exist integers n and m such that

$$R^m \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

is exact.

Theorem: Let  $[M_\alpha]_\alpha$  be a family of right R-modules, N a finitely presented left R-module. Then

$$(\prod_\alpha M_\alpha) \otimes_R N \cong \prod_\alpha (M_\alpha \otimes_R N)$$

Proof: Since  $N$  is a finitely presented  $R$ -module, there is an exact sequence

$$R^m \xrightarrow{f} R^n \xrightarrow{g} N \rightarrow 0. \text{ By lemma 1.1 (ii) and lemma 3.2, the following sequences}$$

$$(\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_R R^m \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_1} (\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_R R^n \xrightarrow{\bar{g}_1} (\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_R N \rightarrow 0$$

$$\text{and } \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_R R^m) \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_2} \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_R R^n) \xrightarrow{\bar{g}_2} \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_R N) \rightarrow 0$$

are exact sequences.

By tensor product definition, we can define a module homomorphism  $\mu : (\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_R N \rightarrow \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_R N)$  by  $\mu((m_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \otimes n) = (m_{\alpha} \otimes n)_{\alpha}$ . By lemma 3.3, we have

$$(\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_R R^m \cong \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_R R^m)$$

and

$$(\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_R R^n \cong \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_R R^n)$$

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_R R^m & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_1} & (\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_R R^n & \xrightarrow{\bar{g}_1} & (\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_R N \rightarrow 0 \\ \downarrow i_1 & & \downarrow i_2 & & \downarrow \mu \\ \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_R R^m) & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_2} & \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_R R^n) & \xrightarrow{\bar{g}_2} & \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_R N) \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

where  $i_1, i_2$  are isomorphisms and  $\bar{g}_1, \bar{g}_2$  are epimorphisms.

We claim that the diagram is commutative. For every  $(m_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \otimes \gamma \in (\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_R R^m$ ,  $i_2 \bar{f}_1((m_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \otimes \gamma) = i_2((m_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \otimes f(\gamma)) = (m_{\alpha} \otimes f(\gamma))_{\alpha}$ ,  $\bar{f}_2 i_1((m_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \otimes \gamma) = \bar{f}_2(m_{\alpha} \otimes \gamma)_{\alpha} = (m_{\alpha} \otimes f(\gamma))_{\alpha}$ . Thus  $i_2 \bar{f}_1 = \bar{f}_2 i_1$ . For every  $(m_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \otimes n \in (\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_R R^n$ ,  $\mu \bar{g}_1((m_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \otimes n) = \mu((m_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \otimes g(n)) = (m_{\alpha} \otimes g(n))_{\alpha}$ ,  $\bar{g}_2 i_2((m_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \otimes n) = \bar{g}_2((m_{\alpha} \otimes \gamma)_{\alpha}) = (m_{\alpha} \otimes g(\gamma))_{\alpha}$ . Thus  $\bar{g}_2 i_2 = \mu \bar{g}_1$ .

By lemma 3.1, we know that  $\mu$  is monomorphism. We show that  $\mu$  is

epimorphism. For every  $z \in \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_R N)$ , there is  $y \in \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_R R^n)$  such that  $\bar{g}_2(y) = z$ . Since  $i_2$  is isomorphism, there is  $x \in (\prod M_{\alpha}) \otimes_R R^n$  such that  $i_2(x) = y$ . Then  $\bar{g}_2 i_2(x) = \bar{g}_2(y) = z = \mu \bar{g}_1(x)$ . Since  $\bar{g}_1(x) \in (\prod M_{\alpha}) \otimes_R N$ ,  $\mu$  is epimorphism. Therefore  $\mu$  is isomorphism, that is,

$$(\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_R N \cong \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_R N)$$

Remark: When R is a Noetherian ring, a module is finitely presented if and only if it is finitely generated.

Corollary: Let R be a Noetherian ring,  $[M_{\alpha}]_{\alpha}$  a family of right R-modules and N a finitely generated left R-module. Then  $(\prod_{\alpha} M_{\alpha}) \otimes_R N \cong \prod_{\alpha} (M_{\alpha} \otimes_R N)$ .

## REFERENCES

- [1] P. J. Hilton and U. Stambach. A Course in Homological Algebra, G. T. M (1970), 109-111.
- [2] D. G. Northcott. An Introduction To Homological Algebra, University of Sheffield, 16-23.
- [3] M. F. Atiyah, FRS I. G. Macdonald. Introduction to Commutative Algebra, University of Oxford, 24-39.
- [4] Carl Faith. Algebra I, Rings, Modules and Categories, Spring-Verlag (1981), 419-440.
- [5] Lambek. Lectures on Rings and Modules, McGill University (1966), 117-126.
- [6] Jacob K. Goldhaber and Gertrude Ehrlich. Algebra, University of Maryland, 181-206.

REFERENCES

- [1] G. Birkhoff and M. Stambucchi, A Course in Homological Algebra, K. T. M. (1970), 100-111.
- [2] G. Birkhoff, An Introduction to Homological Algebra, University of Chicago Press, 1948.
- [3] M. J. Adams, Piers A. M. Madsen, Introduction to Commutative Algebra, University of Chicago Press, 1982.
- [4] G. Birkhoff, Algebra I, Rings, Modules and Categories, Springer-Verlag, 1974, 1-9-80.
- [5] G. Birkhoff, Rings and Modules, MIT University, 1974, 1-12-80.
- [6] G. Birkhoff, Categories and Groups, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1978, 1-12-80.